

Name Rubric Review Paper Print version only OL

Description

Rubric Detail

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Failing	Poor	Good	Exceptional
Draft	0 Points Really? Less than 40% of anything?	10 Points about 40% done, or more than one section missing.	15 Points about 60% done, or not more than one section missing	20 Points At least 2 References present, all sections to about 80% done
Title and Abstract	0 Points Missing completely or unacceptable	4 Points Lackluster effort. Major components missing, incorrect or badly written	6 Points Meets most but not all of the expectations.	8 Points Meets all expectations (see instructions), Title informative, Abstract presented clearly and concisely
Introduction	0 Points Missing completely or unacceptable	4 Points Lackluster effort. Major components missing, incorrect or badly written/unclear	6 Points Meets most but not all of the expectations.	8 Points Meets all expectations (see instructions), is presented clearly and well written
Body of the Paper	0 Points Missing completely or unacceptable	12 Points Lackluster effort. Major components missing, incorrect or badly written/unclear	18 Points Meets most but not all of the expectations.	24 Points Meets all expectations (see instructions), is presented clearly and well written
Conclusions	0 Points Missing completely or unacceptable	4 Points Lackluster effort. Major components missing, incorrect or badly written	6 Points Meets most but not all of the expectations.	8 Points Meets all expectations (see instructions), is presented clearly and well written
References	0 Points No references	4 Points Major issues with references	6 Points One reference missing, or not paraphrased or cited properly, or not useful/appropriate	8 Points Required number and type of references present, reliable, appropriate, useful and properly used and cited.
Content	0 Points Clear lack of understanding of concepts or unacceptable	5 Points Issues with understanding of concepts; or paper too short; or topic not explored enough to be informative/unclear; scientific errors; off-topic	7.5 Points Author demonstrates pretty good understanding of the concepts and explores topic relatively well, with room for improvement; minor inaccuracies	10 Points Author demonstrates excellent grasp of concepts of their topic; topic is explored in sufficient detail, scientifically accurate and informative

Criteria	Levels of Achievement			
	Failing	Poor	Good	Exceptional
Organization and clarity of writing	0 Points Extremely unorganized and unclear	5 Points Information disorganized or not logical, writing style choppy and hard to follow, frequent misuse of scientific vocabulary	7.5 Points Pretty good with room for improvement. E.g. writing not quite clear, minor issues with scientific vocabulary, organization not quite logical	10 Points Overall well written, logical, well organized, concise and clear.
Spelling and Grammar	0 Points Many spelling and grammar errors	2 Points Several spelling and grammar errors	3 Points Two or fewer spelling or grammar errors	4 Points No spelling or grammar errors
First Response/Review	0 Points No review or language decidedly discourteous	2.5 Points Review clearly lacks effort; major mistakes/omissions overlooked	3.75 Points Review is good and shows effort, a few minor details overlooked	5 Points Meets all expectations (see review instructions, misses nothing and provides thorough, constructive feedback to author
Second Response/Review	0 Points No review or language decidedly discourteous	2.5 Points Review clearly lacks effort; major mistakes/omissions overlooked	3.75 Points Review is good and shows effort, a few minor details overlooked	5 Points Meets all expectations (see review instructions, misses nothing and provides thorough, constructive feedback to author
Third Response/Review	0 Points No review or language decidedly discourteous	2.5 Points Review clearly lacks effort; major mistakes/omissions overlooked	3.75 Points Review is good and shows effort, a few minor details overlooked	5 Points Meets all expectations (see review instructions, misses nothing and provides thorough, constructive feedback to author

[View Associated Items](#)

Print

Close Window